|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Attachment E** | | | | |
| **Question and Answer Document** | | | | |
| **AL-GIS-RFP-19-002** | | | | |
| Please submit your questions in the form below (yellow shaded area) by the date and time established in the RFP. | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| **Question No.** | **DOC NAME (RFP or Attachment)** | **PAGE # OR SECTION #** | **RESPONDENTS QUESTION** | **RESPONSE** |
| 1 | 5-AL-GIS-RFP-19-002-ATTACHMENT D-Technical Specifications | Page 11 | Section 3 states … “The Vendor shall be responsible for the implementation, … and implement and manage a NG9-1-1 compliant ECRF/LVF system.”. Other sections of the RFP seem to indicate the existing ANGEN Service Provider will be providing the ECRF/LVF system (Page 6). Please clarify if the vendor is to include a full ECRF/LVF system in this response or if that will be provided by the existing ANGEN Service Provider. | INdigital is the current NG911 system service provider of ANGEN and is responsible for operating the ECRF / LVF. The GIS vendor must provide a Spatial Interface (SI) capability in coordination with the ANGEN provider to ensure that no duplication of services is proposed. |
| 2 | 5-AL-GIS-RFP-19-002-ATTACHMENT D-Technical Specifications | Page 4 | Phase 2 states “The first stage will focus on the development of the Location Information System (LIS) database(s) that will be used to replace the ALI system”. Is the vendor expected to include a full ALI replacement in the form of an LDB/LIS and associated database management services as part of this response? If yes, should it be an optional line item? | INdigital is the current NG911 system service provider of ANGEN and should be coordinated with to ensure that no duplication of services is proposed. If the GIS vendor proposes a full ALI replacement in the form of an LDB/LIS and associated database management services as part of this response, the vendor should explain why and list it as a separate line item. |
| 3 | 5-AL-GIS-RFP-19-002-ATTACHMENT D-Technical Specifications | Section 1.5 | Section 1.5 bullets GIS data layers to be aggregated and provisioned for the ECRF/LVF functions within the NG9-1-1 system, but that list does not include the Street Name Alias Table.  However, the Street Name Alias Table IS listed in section 2’s layer Specifications.  The Street Name Alias Table is not a Required table per NENA STA-006.  Please clarify what layers are required, and if those are the only layers required to be in “compliance” with the NENA Standards (STA-006). | The street name alias was provided for further clarification. The goal is to meet NENA Standard. |
| 4 | 5-AL-GIS-RFP-19-002-ATTACHMENT D-Technical Specifications | Section 2.1 | Section 2 has inconsistent headers. It is confusing to the reader where section 2.1 is Road Centerlines and sub sections cover RCL, SSAP & PSAP Boundary. Can the RFP be updated to reflect more organized sub-sections? | Section 2 of the 5-AL-GIS-RFP-19-002-ATTACHMENT D-Technical Specifications has been updated and is published along with the written responses. This update includes more consistent headers. |
| 5 | 5-AL-GIS-RFP-19-002-ATTACHMENT D-Technical Specifications | Page 9 | The section that discusses and describes the PSAP boundary equally uses the term Administrative boundary. Does Administrative boundary = PSAP boundary or are these different? | Section 2 of the 5-AL-GIS-RFP-19-002-ATTACHMENT D-Technical Specifications has been updated and is published along with the written responses. Administrative boundary has been removed from this section. |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question No.** | **DOC NAME (RFP or Attachment)** | **PAGE # OR SECTION #** | **RESPONDENTS QUESTION** | **RESPONSE** |
| 1 | B-Business Proposal and RFP | 2.3.7 | The wording appears to be in conflict between attachment B and the RFP sections 2.3.7. Does the vendor need to be registered with the Alabama Secretary of State prior to proposing or once awarded the contract? | If a Respondent who is not registered in Alabama is awarded the contract, that vendor would need to be registered before the contract is executed. Section 2.3.7 in Attachment B should be used to indicate current registration status. |
| 2 | D-Technical Specifications | Page 3, phase 1 paragraph | Does the GIS vendor have any responsibilities in Phase 1, and has Phase 1 already begun with collection of PSAP Boundaries? | INdigital is the current NG911 system service provider of ANGEN and is responsible for ANGEN’s IP call routing platform. The GIS vendor’s responsibilities in Phase 1 need to be coordinated with the Board as well as the ANGEN provider. While the Board has already begun with collection of PSAP boundaries, this data represents less than 25% of PSAPs within the state and the GIS vendor would need to complete that collection and ensure that the data is in an up-to-date, usable format. |
| 3 | D-Technical Specifications | Page 7, Section 1.5, final paragraph | The RFP states, “the contractor shall provide sufficient information and guidance to the local entities…” Can you clarify what is meant by information and guidance in this sentence, and specifically by what means it will be provided? | Local entities are the authority on completing corrections to the data. They will need access to tools as well as instruction on how to correct any discrepancies with the data. |
| 4 | D-Technical Specifications | Page 8; layers specification | Can you provide an estimated number of layers per PSAP and examples of additional GIS layers that are anticipated in addition to the NENA required layers? | That information is unknown at this time. |
| 5 | D-Technical Specifications | Page 11, Section 3, 4th paragraph. | The last portion of this paragraph states, “and implement and manage a NG9-1-1 compliant ECRF/LVF system.” Can you clarify what responsibility the GIS vendor has as it relates to the management of Next Generation Core Service (NGCS) components? | INdigital is the current NG911 system service provider of ANGEN and is responsible for operating the ECRF / LVF. The GIS vendor must provide a Spatial Interface (SI) capability in coordination with the ANGEN provider to ensure that no duplication of services is proposed. |
| **Question No.** | **DOC NAME (RFP or Attachment)** | **PAGE # OR SECTION #** | **RESPONDENTS QUESTION** | **RESPONSE** |
| 1 | Attachment D | Section 3: Paragraph 4 | **RFP States:** “*and implement and manage a NG9-1-1 compliant ECRF/LVF system.”*  **Question:** *Our understanding of this project is that it does not include an ECRF/LVF.  Based on the wording in the RFP, we just want to confirm that an ECRF/LVF is not part of this project.* | INdigital is the current NG911 system service provider of ANGEN and is responsible for operating the ECRF / LVF. The GIS vendor must provide a Spatial Interface (SI) capability in coordination with the ANGEN provider to ensure that no duplication of services is proposed. |