	STATUTORY COMPLIANCE (Code of Alabama 1975, Section 11-98)	
Failure to submit accurate information		
annually on a cash basis, in a format		
prescribed by the Department of		
Examiners of Public Accounts (Code of		
Alabama 1975, Section 11-98-13.1)	Considerations: Legislative change to extend the deadline. Legislative change to amend language to institute a potential penalty for failure to submit.	
City of Adamsville (1 of 1)		
City of Bessemer (1 of 1)		
City of Fairfield (1 of 2)	Did not submit annual report in a timely manner.	
City of Gardendale (1 of 1)		
City of Graysville (1 of 1)		
City of Irondale (1 of 1)		
City of Mountain Brook (1 of 1)		
Bibb County (1 of 1)	This was for the data collection prior to a statewide board and distributions were withheld by the Wireless Board until November 2013.	
Butler County (1 of 6)	Information was not reported for fiscal year 2014; reported information was not accurate for fiscal year 2013.	
Coosa County (1 of 1)		
Fayette County (1 of 4)	Did not submit annual report.	
Franklin County (1 of 1)		
Lowndes County (1 of 1)	Reported information was not accurate (i.e. total receipts and total distributions were severely underreported).	
Macon County (1 of 2)	Reported information was not accurate.	
Ozark-Dale County (1 of 1)	Submitted, but 8 months late.	
Pike County (1 of 1)		
Wilcox County (1 of 2)	Five Board Members continued to be recognized as Board Members after their terms expired.	
Tother to complete with how the s		
Failure to comply with bonding		
requirements required by the Code of Alabama 1975, Section 11-98-4(i)		
Butler County (2 of 6)	Did not obtain a bond for all employees involved in the disbursement of funds.	
Chilton County (1 of 3)	Did not obtain a bond for all employees involved in the disbursement of funds.	
Morgan County (1 of 2)	The amount of blanket coverage per employee is significantly less than the FY2012 receipts and was not filed in the offices of the Probate Judge.	
District funds not being used for		
allowable purposes (Code of Alabama		
1975, Section 11-98-6)		
City of Fairfield (2 of 2)	Loaned funds to the City's General fund to meet the finaincial obligations of the City (amount exceeded \$115,000).	
City of Tarrant (1 of 1)	Funds were being held in a bank account with other city funds that had negative balances, therefore District funds were being used to finance city activities.	
	STATUTORY COMPLIANCE (Code of Alabama 1975 and Constitution of Alabama 1901)	
Failure to comply with the requirements		
of the Public Works Law (Code of		
Alabama 1975, Title 39)		
Marengo County (1 of 2)	District did not comply with requirements of the Public Work Law for the renovation of a building (Title 39 provides requirements regarding contracts).	
Failure to maintain minutes of its Board		
meetings		
Butler County (3 of 6)	District did not ensure compliance with rules and regulations in accordance with its By-Laws (i.e. lack of Board meetings, budget not approved by Board).	
Batter County (5 or 0)	production are not ensure compliance with rules and regulations in accordance with its Dy-Laws (i.e. fack of Doard incentings, budget not approved by Doard).	

Failure to comply with rules and regulations in accordance with its By- Laws	
Butler County (4 of 6)	Minutes of the District's meetings were not maintained.
Wilcox County (2 of 2)	District did not comply with its By-Laws in reference to meetings, therefore expenditures of funds and contractual agreements were not properly approved.
Failure to provide a complete and	
accurate set of books	
Choctaw County (3 of 4)	Failed to provide a complete and accurate set of books that reflected all receips, disbursements, and ending monthly cash balances.
Loaned public money	
Randolph County (1 of 1)	District loaned public money to a District employee.
	DOCUMENTATION / LACK OF CONTROL ISSUES
DISBURSEMENTSFailure,	
Inadequate, Lack of internal controls	
over documentation for disbursements	
Fayette County (2 of 4)	
	Disbursements were not adequately documented (i.e. voided checks we not maintained, invoices were not not paid by due date).
Conecuh County $(1 \text{ of } 5)$	Disbursements were not adequately documented with itemized receips/invoices, travel claims, and agendas.
Marengo County (2 of 2)	Documentation was not maintained to support disbursements.
Butler County (5 of 6)	Disbursements were not always supported by adequate documentation.
Chilton County (2 of 3)	Disbursements were not always supported by adequate documentation.
RECIEPTSFailure to post receipt	
amounts accurately to a cashbook and to	
properly maintain supporting	
documentation for receipts, Pre-	
numbered receipts not being utilized,	
Receipts not being deposited timely and	
intact	
Conecuh County (2 of 5)	All funds received were not receipted using a pre-numbered receipt book.
Hale County (1 of 1)	Receipts were not accurately recorded to the general ledger and supporting documentation was not maintained.
Morgan County (2 of 2)	Receipts were not deposited timely and intact.
ACCOUNT CONTROLSLack of	
controls over capital assets, Lack of	
controls over accounts, Failure to make	
deposits timely and intact, Inventory	
listing not being maintained, District	
owned vehicle being a taxable fringe	
benefit or Failure to properly report	
vehicle usage as a taxable fringe benefit	
Pickens County (1 of 3)	Director used a company owned vehicle for commuting purposes and did not report a tax fringe benefit on her W-2 Form.
Etowah County (1 of 1)	The District did not review all financial information in a timely manner.
Baldwin County (1 of 1)	An outstanding deposit represented receipts not deposited in a timely manner.
Conecuh County (3 of 5)	An inventory listing was not maintained on all items purchased with public funds and a physical inventory was not performed.
Choctaw County (1 of 4)	Director used a company owned vehicle for commuting purposes and did not report a tax fringe benefit on her W-2 Form.
,	District did not maintain controls to properly record and safeguard all assets.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

PURCHASE ORDERSFailure to utilize purchase orders or lack of internal controls for purchase orders	
Fayette County (3 of 4)	Did not utilize an adequate purchase order system for disbursements.
	Purchase orders were not issued and approved prior to the invoice date.
PAYROLLFailure to maintain	
documentation of the approved rate of pay for the former director, Non-	
compliance with District policy	
regarding payroll transactions, Failure	
to prepare accurate payroll records,	
Lack of internal controls over payroll	
records	
Geneva County (1 of 1)	No documentation or approval could be provided for the former director's rate of pay.
Pickens County (3 of 3)	Sick/Annual leave (in excess of the 80 hour limit) was sold back and added to the Director's pay without approval and in contradiction to policy.
Macon County (2 of 2)	District did not follow the IRS and AL Dept. of Revenue rules and regulations for processing payroll.
Conecuh County (4 of 5)	Acurate and complete payroll records were not maintained (i.e. missing timesheets & I-9 Forms).
Butler County (6 of 6)	Payroll records were not maintained completely and accurately (i.e. missing I-9 Forms). Merit raises were not approved by the Board.
Choctaw County (2 of 4)	Accurate and complete payroll records were not maintained (i.e. missing timesheets & I-9 Forms).
Fayette County (4 of 4)	Accurate and complete payroll records were not maintained (i.e. I-9 Forms completed late, Employees were allowed overtime while taking paid leave).
ACCOUNT AND/OR BOOKS	
RECONCILIATIONFinancial activity	
not properly recorded, Bank account not	
being properly reconciled	
Conecuh County (5 of 5)	Bank account reconciliation was not being performed on a monthly basis.
Covington County (1 of 1)	Bank account was not properly and accurately reconciled (i.e. uncleared checks, deposits, and journal entries).
Choctaw County (4 of 4)	Financial activity was not properly recorded and the bank account was not properly reconciled.